EXHIBIT 8(d)

Pomona, NY

Page 43 1 P.J. Corless 2 respect to the proposed driveway? 3 Yes, there are. Α And that would require a permit as 0 well? That's correct. Ά 7 How about lot 15? 0 The house is in steep slope on 15, Α on 16, a portion on 17, a portion on 18, a 10 portion on 19, a portion on 20, a portion on 21. 11 22 and 23 are without disturbance of steep 12 slopes. 13 Q Lot 24? 14 Twenty-four yes, disturbance; 25 Α 15 disturbance; 26 disturbance; 27 disturbance; 29 16 disturbance; 29 disturbance; 30 there is no 17 disturbance; 31 there is disturbance; 32 there is disturbance; 33 there is disturbances just for driveway; 34 there is disturbance; 35 disturbance 20 driveway only; 36 there is disturbance, driveway 21 only; 37; 38; 39; and 40 are without disturbance. 22 With respect to each of the lots 23 that you identified as there being a disturbance, 24 since it involved the planning board, a steep 25 slope permit would be required from the planning

Pomona, NY

Page 44 1 P.J. Corless 2 board? 3 And what the planning board Α Yes. has done is given a steep slope permit for the project based upon these forty lots, and then 5 6 individual houses will come back as they exceed 7 their footprint. Let me take a step back. Has there 9 been final subdivision approval yet? 10 Α No. 11 So there has been no steep slope 12 approval for this subdivision yet? 13 Α Not yet. Presuming that final subdivision 14 15 approval is granted, it will be granted for these 16 hypothetical, steep slope approvals will be 17 granted for the hypothetical houses that are 18 depicted? 19 In those locations. Ά 20 In those locations, right. 0 21 Α Yes. 22 And if the developer or homeowner, 23 as the case may be, ultimately wants to vary the 24 location of the house or the driveway, then they would have to come back and go through the steep 25

Pomona, NY

Page 45 1 P.J. Corless slopes process again, correct? 3 Correct, if he or she is going to Α disturb the slopes. 5 To your knowledge, and this is the last time I will ask this question, I promise; I've asked it twice. To date, has any steep slope permits been issued for this particular 9 project? 10 Α No. 11 Before any building permits can be 12 issued with respect to any individual lot, a 13 steep slope permit would be necessary for those 14 impacted lots that we just discussed? That's correct. But as of now no 15 Α 16 lots exist. It is one parcel. 17 Q Right. 18 That's not until the final plat. Α 19 Right. Now, in a situation where 0 20 you have very steep slopes on a particular lot --21 Α Right. 22 -- what impact, if any, does that 23 have on the construction of a home on that lot? 24 And let's use for example --25 Use this one, we moved the house Α

Pomona, NY

Page 46 1 P.J. Corless 2 over here (indicating). 3 Okay, that's lot number seven. 0 Α Yes. 5 That's extremely steep slopes, 0 6 correct? 7 Yes, so what the applicant's Α 8 surveyor or engineer would do is try to 9 construct, configure a lot that would allow him 10 room outside of that steeped area and build a 11 house outside of it, so he wouldn't have to 12 impact it. But there are some times when he has 13 no choice. 14 And that's under the category of O 15 very steep slope? 16 Yes, very steep. 17 I appreciate that the quality of 18 this map is not completely clear, because it's 19 been copied a number of times. But using as an 20 example, lot number nine. 21 Yes, well, in lot number nine's case 22 they have identified some area in the building 23 envelope, and the house has been sited to the 24 front of the envelope. It looks like it's the 25 same size as the other homes, but it has been

Pomona, NY

Page 47 1 P.J. Corless tilted and turned so that it doesn't have to be 2 built within that steep slope area, although I suspect that some of the backyard, when the homeowner gets in there, may be impacted. But that's a different issue. Well this dark area, number nine, is an extremely steep slope, right? 8 Α That's correct. The balance is either a very steep 10 0 11 slope or a moderately steep slope, right? 12 Moderately steep slope, correct. Α 13 We can't tell? 0 14 We can't tell. Α 15 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Mark this 16 as the last exhibit, documents that my 17 office received pursuant to a FOIL request from Carolyn LaChiana. It's a 18 packet of document in response to a 19 20 FOIL request, the first page is a card 21 entitled Village of Pomona, High Gate 22 Estates. If we could just mark that as 23 Exhibit E. 24 (Documents received pursuant to FOIL request were marked as Defendant's 25

Pomona, NY

Page 48 1 P.J. Corless 2 Exhibit E for identification.) 3 I represent to you, Mr. Corless, these are copies of minutes relating to the High Gate Estates subdivision application. You 6 testified earlier that this was one of the 7 subdivision applications that you were involved 8 . with as engineer that involved steep slope 9 permits, correct? 10 Ά Yes. 11 And rather than have you go through 12 the minutes, I just want to -- is it typical 13 protocol for the planning board to review minutes 14 from the prior meeting? 15 Usually at the next meeting. 16 Okay. And is it typical protocol 17 that they review them and approve them as 18 accurate. 19 Their practice is that they review 20 them at the next meeting. They do not always get 21 consensus and sometimes the vote is taken the 22 following month. But they do try to do it each 23 month, for the last month. 24 I'm just trying to save some time. 25 Yes, their practice, yes. Α

Pomona, NY

Page 49 1 P.J. Corless 2 0 These minutes say what they They have been approved, rather than drag you through? What they are, they are, yes. So my question to you is, do you 0 recall the approval process for the steep slopes in this --Sure. Α 10 And how would you characterize it 11 with respect to its length? Was it short and sweet, was it typical, was it long? 12 13 High Gate was typically long. 14 0 Okay. 15 Long being defined as several Α 16 meetings, more than two. 17 And how many months between the 18 application for the steep slope permits and the 19 issuance of them? 20 Six months or more. 21 And this involved the latter 22 scenario that you described where the applicant 23 actually came back after final subdivision 24 approval and asked for --25 Correct. Α

Alderson Reporting Company 1-800-FOR-DEPO

Pomona, NY

Page 50 1 P.J. Corless 2 -- permits because they wanted to 3 move the houses around? Α Correct. MR. HARRINGTON: I have no 6 further questions. Thank you. THE WITNESS: That's it? MR. HARRINGTON: That's it. MR. HASPEL: I have a couple. 10 MR. HARRINGTON: I may have some 11 more but --12 MR. HASPEL: I just want to make 13 sure I understand. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. HASPEL: 16 These I'm showing the witness C-1 17 for identification, which is the page, disturbed 18 slope map, that Mr. Harrington was questioning 19 Each of these lots have what we have now about. 20 defined as a mythical footprint of a house? 21 Α Correct. 22 Was it your testimony that unless 23 the ultimately designed house fits into that footprint -- let's go one step back. 24 25 assume for one second that this map receives

Pomona, NY

March 25, 2008

Page 51 1 P.J. Corless 2 final subdivision approval, okay. Under that 3 assumption, was it your testimony that unless the proposed house at the time that a house is being planned fits within the footprint that's designated as the mythical footprint on this map, a new process would have to take place? MR. HARRINGTON: Objection to form. 10 Α Yes, that's correct. If an 11 applicant came in with a building that was 12 slightly less than the approved one, and had an 13 adjusted driveway to accommodate it or something 14 like that, on my action I would send it back to 15 the planning board for approval. 16 If it complied with it completely as 17 approved by the planning board, we would process 18 without going further to the planning board. 19 I'm even more confused now. If the 20 footprint of the proposed house fits within the 21 box, do you have to go back? 22 Α No. 23 0 Okav. If the footprint of the house 24 was going to move a nominal amount, would you 25 have to go back?

Pomona, NY

Page 52 1 P.J. Corless 2 It's my practice to send it back if Ά 3 there is any change. Any change whatsoever? O 5 The planning board chairman prefers, Α and it's not in the law, she prefers to see any 7 change, so I have complied with that request. 8 How long did you say we had this 9 steep slope ordinance in place? 10 '98. Α 11 In your experience, what percentage 12 of steep slope applications after a subdivision 13 approval is done, after final plat is filed, what 14 percentage of steep slope applications, in your 15 experience, have been declined? 16 MR. HARRINGTON: Objection. You 17 can answer. 18 I need to understand the question. Α 19 After the map is filed. 20 Let me take you through it. Let's 21 say this map is the final plat? 22 Α Okav. 23 And at that point in time, every one 24 of these lots came in? 25 Approved. Α

March 25, 2008

Pomona, NY

Page 53 1 P.J. Corless 2 In your experience, throughout the Village of Pomona, what percentage of steep slope 3 applications are denied? None would be denied but more than 90 percent of them would be sent back to the 6 planning board as a practical matter. When you say, "sent back to the 8 planning board," once it gets sent back to the 10 planning board, in your experience, how many times has a builder been denied the opportunity 11 12 to build on that lot? 13 Never been denied. There may be changes, but never has been denied. 14 15 When you say changes, can you 16 describe what kind of changes you are referring 17 to? 18 He might be asked to flatten the Α slope of the driveway or not make the length of 19 the house as long as it's proposed, or perhaps 20 change the imperviousness of one of the surfaces, 21 22 of a driveway. I mean there are lots of more 23 tweaking of details rather than an approval being 24 denied. You used the word tweaking. Is that 25 Q